

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/575,540	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SASAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MINH-CHAU T. PHAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MINH-CHAU T. PHAM. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Leonard Holtz. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Office Action mailed to Applicant on June 8, 2009 is hereby withdrawn and vacated due to only claims 1-8 addressed. This new Office Action is issued in place of the withdrawn Office Action on June 8, 2009 to address all claims 1-20 in the preliminary amendment filed on April 12, 2006..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Minh-Chau T. Pham/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797	
--	--